ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

 \mathbf{OF}

WARNER L. BAXTER

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF

CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY
CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY

February 28, 2005

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		WARNER L. BAXTER
4		CASE NO.
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Warner L. Baxter. My business address is 1901 Chouteau
7	Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.	
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what position?
9	A.	I am employed as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
10	for Ameren Corporation ("Ameren Corp."). Ameren Corp. is the parent of	
11	Illinois Power Company, doing business as AmerenIP; Central Illinois Public	
12	Service Company, doing business as AmerenClPS; Central Illinois Light	
13	Company, doing business as AmerenCILCO; and Union Electric Company, doing	
14	business as AmerenUE. AmerenUE is not involved in this proceeding.	
15	Q.	Please describe your educational background, your work experience,
16	and t	he duties of your position.
17	A.	I graduated from the University of Missouri-St. Louis in 1983 with a
18	Bachelor of Science degree with a major in Accounting. I am a licensed Certified	
19	Public Accountant in the State of Missouri and a member of the American	
20	Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Missouri Society of Certified	
21	Public Accountants.	
22	My responsibilities include the oversight of the financial, accounting, and	
23	regulatory functions of Ameren and its subsidiaries, as well as the treasury, tax,	
24	risk management, internal audit and budget and corporate modeling functions. I	

25 am also the primary company spokesperson in communications with the financial community, including financial analysts and credit rating agency analysts. 26 What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding? 27 O. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the tariff 28 A. proposal of AmerenCILCO, AmerenCIPS and AmerenIP (the "Ameren 29 Companies" or "Companies") in this proceeding and to explain why the 30 31 Companies are making this proposal. In addition, I will introduce the other witnesses who are submitting testimony in support of the proposal. 32 Please provide an overview of the tariff proposal in this proceeding. 33 Q. In this case, the Ameren Companies have proposed new tariff sheets that 34 A. accomplish three objectives: 35 1) The revised tariff sheets define and establish the generation services that the 36 Ameren Companies upon the expiration of the mandatory transition period, 37 effective January 2, 2007. As explained by Mr. Wilbon Cooper in his direct 38 testimony, the Ameren Companies will offer Basic Generation Service ("BGS") 39 beginning January 2, 2007. The rates for BGS will reflect the actual cost of 40 power and energy procured by the Ameren Companies, as determined by a 41 formula to be approved in this proceeding, and certain other costs, which will be 42 set by the Commission in a subsequent rate case. This is appropriate because the 43 utilities serving customers no longer own the generation being used to supply the 44 customers. Power is being procured in the market, and the rates should reflect 45 market prices. In fact, the Public Utilities Act allows the Commission to cap 46 retail generation prices at "market value" plus 10%.

47

2) The revised tariff sheets also establish the procurement process by which the Ameren Companies will obtain the power supply necessary to provide the generation services. As explained by Mr. Craig Nelson and Mr. James Blessing, the Ameren Companies are proposing the use of an auction processed modeled on the auction process that has been used successfully in New Jersey. As our witnesses explain, the proposed auction process is open, transparent, fair and designed to procure power at least-cost, while promoting price stability and minimizing volatility. It satisfies federal and state standards and preserves the Commission's authority over power procurement. As we also explain in our testimony, the auction process was selected after long consideration and the involvement of numerous stakeholders.

3) Lastly, the revised tariff sheets establish the methodology by which the auction

3) Lastly, the revised tariff sheets establish the methodology by which the auction prices will be "translated" into prices that customers will pay. This is a critical step. Bidders into the auction are assuming certain volume risks. They need to be able to translate the auction prices into retail rates in order to project volumes when they decide what volume to bid on.

Q. Why are the Ameren Companies proposing the use of an auction?

A. The Ameren Companies do not own any significant amount of generation. AmerenCIPS and AmerenCILCO transferred their generation several years ago (except that AmerenCILCO retained ownership of several small (1 MW) power module units that are expected to be transferred out of AmerenCILCO before January 1, 2007.) AmerenIP transferred all of its generation before it was acquired by Ameren Corporation. Presently, each Ameren Company is served

under a full requirements contract that expires at the end of 2006. Accordingly, each of the Ameren Companies must purchase its supply into order to provide any generation service.

As Mr. Nelson explains in his testimony, the Companies participated in the Commission's post-2006 workshop process, during which several different models were considered. The participants identified 18 different characteristics or criteria that a procurement method should have, and ultimately there was a consensus that an auction satisfied these criteria better than any other method under consideration.

An auction satisfies many goals. Mr. Nelson discusses this in greater detail, but I would like to focus on a few aspects. First, an auction spurs vigorous competition in the market. This has been shown to be true in other states. An RFP process can be used to effectively procure power, but an auction process in preferable. In a descending clock auction, bidders are fully informed at the end of each round, which encourages them to be aggressive.

Further, an auction satisfies the serious federal and state concerns about affiliate transactions. Historically, both federal and state agencies have been concerned that generation companies selling to utility affiliate could seek to extract above-market prices, to the detriment of customers. More recently, FERC has made clear that it is not concerned only about customers, but about the market itself. If affiliate transactions lock out other competitors, the market as a whole suffers in the long-run. An auction process puts affiliates and non-affiliates on equal footing. The decision is made by the process, not by any individual.

The auction method being proposed also preserves a significant role for the Commission. The Commission will have an independent monitor to observe the process and report on the conduct of the auction to the Commission, which can then reject the results of any auction that it believes was run improperly or

In this regard, I note that the proposed auction process is modeled on the

that it otherwise believes to have not produced a valid result.

New Jersey auction, which has been run successfully and which satisfies affiliate

transaction standards.

98

99

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

Q. Why is it necessary for the Commission to address 2007 service offerings and power procurement now?

A. Power procurement for the entire Ameren Illinois load of approximately 7500 megawatts is not something that can be achieved overnight. Additionally, the auction process itself takes some time to put in place. As Mr. Nelson and Dr. Chantale LaCasse explain, new systems must be created, existing systems must be conformed and bidder education must occur. The Ameren Companies need the Commission to act by next January so that an auction can be held in May, 2006, for power delivery in January, 2007.

Q. Is it necessary for the Commission to address all three aspects of the Companies' proposal now?

A. Yes, it is. The Ameren Companies do not believe that the three objectives I have identified can or should be addressed separately. The BGS tariffs define the products that are going to be supplied through the auction process; Rider MV defines the auction process; and the translation tool calculates the specific costs to

be recovered in the BGS tariffs. The three aspects of the Companies' filing work together.

Further, the Ameren Companies seek certainty of cost recovery. Power supply costs represent 60-70% of a utility's costs. The Ameren Companies will be in the market to procure their full power supply requirements, and they, their lenders and investors require assurances that the Companies' rates will be structured to provide for recovery of the actual power supply costs incurred. Any risk of material regulatory lag can adversely affect the Companies' financial position.

Moreover, certainty of cost recovery is required as a matter of fairness.

As transmission and distribution companies, the Ameren Companies must procure all power supply in the market, and have a limited ability to manage the risks associated with power supply. The Companies cannot control consumption - only customers can do that. The Companies cannot control the cost of production - only suppliers can do that. All the Companies can do is pursue prudent procurement practices, and that is exactly what the Companies are asking the Commission to approve in this docket. And if the Companies adhere to those practices (i.e., conduct an auction pursuant to the Commission-approved auction rules), the Companies should not bear any risk associated with the outcome of the auction.

Q. Are there any other comments you would like to make regarding the Companies' proposal?

A. Yes, there are. Any power procurement proposal that comes before the Commission will require the Commission to balance competing interests. For example, on credit requirements, the Commission must achieve a balance between the interests of full participation and protection against default. If credit requirements are too strict, significant potential bidders could be excluded and auction prices would be expected to higher. If credit requirements are too lax, the prospect of default becomes more real, subjecting customers to the risk of higher costs. In crafting their proposal, the Ameren Companies have tried to balance these and other concerns and present the Commission with a proposal that allows for meaningful competition, minimal default risk, certainty of cost recovery and ultimate Commission oversight. There is no single perfect procurement model, and no procurement model can reduce wholesale prices below a competitive level. What we have proposed is a model that we know has worked elsewhere and that is designed to get the best price from the market for our customers.

Q. Please introduce the other witnesses.

A. There are eight other witnesses:

Mr. Craig Nelson, Vice President – Strategic Initiatives of Ameren Services

Company ("Ameren Services"), who presents an overview of the Ameren

Companies' proposed revisions to the determination of market value, and how that
market value will be reflected in rates at the end of the mandatory transition

period. In this regard, he discusses how the Ameren Companies propose to

supply and structure the post-2006 regulated service offering to their remaining

native load. In particular, his testimony: (1) discusses the market developments,

regulatory requirements and state policy initiatives in response to which the Companies developed their market value rider and procurement proposal; (2) summarizes the competitive procurement process the Companies propose to obtain supply to full requirements service at regulated rates, how the price they pay for this supply reflects market value, and how the costs of supply will be reflected in bundled retail rates; (3) summarizes the benefits of this proposal; and (4) explains why this proposal also is fully consistent with federal standards governing power purchases from affiliated generation companies. Mr. James Blessing, Managing Supervisor, Power Supply Acquisition in the Strategic Initiatives Department at Ameren Services, who describes certain aspects of the competitive procurement auction process that the Ameren Companies propose to use to procure BGS. In this regard, he describes some of the key supplier contract terms and conditions that are at issue in the procurement process. He discusses the detailed product design, and also the auction process itself. Mr. Wilbon Cooper, Manager – Rate Engineering and Analysis – Regulatory Policy and Planning of Ameren Services, who presents and explains various aspects of the development of rate tariffs for the providing of power and energy service to AmerenUE, AmerenCIPS, AmerenCILCO and AmerenIP retail electric service customers at the end of the mandatory transition period under the Customer Choice Law of 1997. Mr. Robert Mill, Director of the Regulatory Policy and Planning Department of Ameren Services, who discusses the Ameren Companies' request for tariff

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

approval, and explains the Companies' plan to implement uniform tariffs and rate policies. He sponsors a tariff for determination of market value. Finally, he describes an auction review process open to all stakeholders that could result in refinements to the procurement process and related tariffs prior to subsequent auctions. Dr. Chantale LaCasse, a Vice President with National Economic Research Associates, Inc., who is the past and current auction manager for the New Jersey auction. Dr. LaCasse discusses the advantages of an auction process in determining market value and pricing wholesale procurement and presents a comparison of an auction process to an RFP process. She also describes the New Jersey BGS auction process, including the key elements of that process and how that process is implemented. She further describes competitive safeguards in the auction, and describes the role of the Auction Manager, and the roles of the regulators and their consultant, the auction monitor. Lastly she addresses the term structure of the Ameren auction proposal, and how it may relate to the slightly different term structure proposed by Commonwealth Edison Mr. Johannes Pfeifenberger, a Principal and Director of The Brattle Group, an economic consulting firm, who gives an overview of the experience with competitive procurement methods used in other restructured states to provide background and context for the Ameren Companies' Post-2006 procurement proposal. Mr. Steven Fetter, President of Regulation UnFettered, an energy advisory firm, who addresses the importance of allowing electric distribution utilities to set

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208	reasonable credit quality requirements for potential suppliers so as to limit the	
209	likelihood of a later supply default and shortfall, which could trigger the need for	
210	the purchasing utilities to take immediate remedial action amidst an atmosphere	
211	of uncertainty.	
212	Mr. Robert McNamara, .Vice President of Regulatory Affairs and Chief	
213	Economist for the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.	
214	("MISO"), who provides a general description of MISO's operations, including its	
215	Midwest Market Initiative, and describes how MISO will interact with the	
216	Ameren Companies' auction process being considered in this proceeding. Mr.	
217	McNamara outlines the MISO various initiatives, some approved and others	
218	underway, that will support and/or facilitate the auction process. He also	
219	discusses MISO's commitment to working with the Ameren Companies and all	
220	market participants to ensure that the auction process is compatible with the	
221	proper functioning of wholesale power markets.	
222	Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?	
223	A. Yes.	
224	CHI-1463071v2	